Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,282	LUOFFO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MENGYAO ZHE	2195	

All Participants:

(1) *MENGYAO ZHE*.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) *Amy Patillo*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *2/4/2009*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Claims 1, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner proposed changes to which the applicant agreed to in accordance to examiner amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)